arXiv:1609.09540v1 [math.AG] 29 Sep 2016

DERIVED MCKAY CORRESPONDENCE FOR $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$

YUJIRO KAWAMATA

Abstract. We prove that the equivariant derived category for a finite subgroup of $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition into the derived category of a certain partial resolution, called a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization, of the corresponding quotient singularity and a relative exceptional collection. This is a generalization of a result of Bridgeland, King and Reid.

1. introduction

The main theorem of this paper is the following generalization of the classical derived McKay correspondence for $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ to the case of $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$:

Theorem 1. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$, and let $X = \mathbf{C}^3/G$ be the quotient variety. Let $\pi : \mathbf{C}^3 \to X$ be the projection, and define a $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor B on X by an equality $\pi^*(K_X + B) = K_{\mathbf{C}^3}$. Let V_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ be all the proper linear subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^3$ whose inertia subgroups $I_j = \{g \in G \mid g|_{V_j} = Id\}$ are non-trivial and not contained in $SL(3, \mathbf{C})$, let $D_j = \{g \in G \mid g(V_j) = V_j\}$ be the corresponding decomposition groups, and let $G_j = D_j/I_j$ be the quotient groups acting on the V_j. Then there exist smooth affine varieties Z_i $(i = 1, \dots, l)$ and a projective birational morphism $f : Y \to X$ from a normal variety with only terminal quotient singularities, called a* maximal $\mathbf{Q}$*-factorial terminalization for the pair (X, B), such that there are fully faithful functors $\Phi_i : D^b(coh(Z_i)) \to D^b(coh([\mathbf{C}^3/G]))$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $\Phi : D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})) \to D^b(coh([\mathbf{C}^3/G]))$ with a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$D^b(coh([\mathbf{C}^3/G])) \cong \langle \Phi_1(D^b(coh(Z_1))), \dots, \Phi_l(D^b(coh(Z_l))), \Phi(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})))\rangle$$

where $[\mathbf{C}^3/G]$ is a quotient stack, $\tilde{Y}$ is the smooth Deligne-Mumford stack associated to Y, and one of the following hold for each Z_i $(i = 1, \dots, l)$:

(0) $\dim Z_i = 0$, *and $Z_i \cong V_j$ is the origin.*
(1) $\dim Z_i = 1$, *Z_i is a smooth rational affine curve, and there is a finite morphism $Z_i \to V_j/G_j$ to the quotient curve for some j.*
(2) $\dim Z_i = 2$, *and $Z_i \to V_j/G_j$ is the minimal resolution of singularities of the quotient surface for some j.*

The correspondence $\{1, \dots, l\} \to \{1, \dots, m\}$ given by $i \mapsto j$ is not necessarily injective nor surjective.

If $G \subset SL(3, \mathbf{C})$, then this is a theorem of Bridgeland-King-Reid [8]. In this case, $m = l = 0$, Y is smooth, and the conclusion is reduced to the equivalence $D^b([\mathbf{C}^3/G]) \cong D^b(\mathrm{coh}(Y))$.

We would like to call the terms on the right hand side except $\Phi_0(D^b(\mathrm{coh}(Z_0)))$ a *relative exceptional collection* because it is an exceptional collection if $\dim Z_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

A maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is not unique, and the theorem holds for some choice of it.

We shall explain the terminology and the background of the theorem in the next section. The theorem is a special case of so-called "DK hypothesis".

This work was partly done while the author stayed at National Taiwan University. The author would like to thank Professor Jungkai Chen and National Center for Theoretical Sciences of Taiwan of the hospitality and excellent working condition.

This work is partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 16H02141.

2. DK-hypothesis

DK-hypothesis is a working hypothesis saying that equalities and inequalities of canonical divisors correspond to equivalences and semi-orthogonal decompositions of derived categories ([22], [26]).

We can compare $\mathbf{R}$-Cartier divisors on different but birationally equivalent normal varieties, i.e., $B = C$, or $B \geq C$, if the pull-backs of B and C coincide as divisors on some sufficiently high model, or the pull-backs of B is larger than that of C, respectively. More precisely, suppose that there is a proper birational map $\alpha : X \dashrightarrow Y$ between normal varieties, and let B and C be $\mathbf{R}$-Cartier divisors on X and Y, respectively. Then there is a third normal variety Z with proper birational morphisms $f : Z \to X$ and $g : Z \to Y$ such that $\alpha = g \circ f^{-1}$. In this case, we say $B = C$ (resp. $B \geq C$) if $f^*B = g^*C$ (resp. $f^*B \geq g^*C$). We note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the third model Z, but only on α.

The canonical divisor K_X of a normal algebraic variety X is the basic invariant for the classification of varieties. The minimal model program is the process consisting of birational maps which decrease the canonical divisors. The canonical divisors of two projective varieties linked by a proper birational map $\alpha : X \dashrightarrow Y$ are compared by using the same rational canonical differential form of the function field.

In the minimal model program, it is better to deal with pairs instead of varieties. This is so-called "log" philosophy. Let (X, B) be a pair of a normal variety X and an effective $\mathbf{R}$-divisor B such that $K_X + B$, the *log canonical divisor*, is an $\mathbf{R}$-Cartier divisor. A prime divisor E *above* X is the one which appears as a prime divisor on a normal variety Y with a birational morphism $f : Y \to X$. The *coefficient* e of E is defined to be the coefficient in the expression $f^*(K_X + B) = K_Y + eE + \text{other components}$. $-e$ is called the *discrepancy* of E and $1 - e$ the *log discrepancy*. The coefficient does not depend on the choice of a higher model Y but only on the discrete valuation of $k(X)$ determined by E. The pair is called *KLT* if $e < 1$ for any prime divisor above X.

It is important to note that the canonical divisor of a smooth projective variety is a categorical invariant. Let $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$ be the bounded derived category of a smooth projective variety X. Then the *Serre functor* S_X is given by $S_X(a) = a \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]$ for any $a \in D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$, where $\omega = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the canonical sheaf. Serre functor satisfies a bifunctorial formula $\mathrm{Hom}(a, b)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(b, S_X(a))$ for $a, b \in D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$. Here we note that $\mathrm{Hom}(a, b)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over the base field, and the functor S_X is uniquely determined by this formula.

In general, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, and let $\mathcal{A}_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, l)$ be triangulated full subcategories. We say that there is a *semi-orthogonal decomposition (SOD)* $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_l \rangle$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) For any object $d \in \mathcal{D}$, there exist objects $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and distinguished triangles $a_{i+1} \to d_{i+1} \to d_i \to a_{i+1}[1]$ $(i = 1, \ldots, l-1)$ for some $d_i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $d_1 = a_1$ and $d_l = d$.
(2) $\mathrm{Hom}(a_i, a_j) = 0$ if $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$, and $i > j$.

DK-hypothesis is a working hypothesis stating that the inequalities of the canonical divisors K_X, or more generally the log canonical divisors $K_X + B$, and the SOD's of the suitably defined derived categories $D(X)$ or $D(X, B)$ are parallel; the following should be equivalent:

- $K_X = K_Y$ (resp. $K_X \geq K_Y$), or $K_X + B = K_Y + C$ (resp. $K_X + B \geq K_Y + C$).
- $D(X) \cong D(Y)$ (resp. $D(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}, D(Y) \rangle$ for some $\mathcal{A}$), or $D(X, B) \cong D(Y, C)$ (resp. $D(X, B) = \langle \mathcal{A}, D(Y, C) \rangle$ for some $\mathcal{A}$).

If X is a smooth projective variety, then the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves$D(X) = D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$ works well. But the definition of $D(X)$ should be modified according to the situations. Indeed the minimal model program requires that we consider pairs (X, B) with singularities. If X has only quotient singularities, then we should consider the smooth Deligne-Mumford stack associated to X as manifested in [21]. If we consider a pair (X, B), then we should define $D(X, B)$ suitably. There is still ambiguity.

This parallelism between MMP and SOD are first observed by Bondal and Orlov in the following example ([5]):

Example 2. (1) Let $f : X \to Y$ be a blowing up of a smooth projective variety Y with a smooth center F of codimension c and the exceptional divisor E. Then $K_X = f^*K_Y + (c-1)E$. Correspondingly, there is an SOD:

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$$
$$= \langle j_*g^*D^b(\mathrm{coh}(F)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E((-c+1)E), \ldots, j_*g^*D^b(\mathrm{coh}(F)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-E), f^*D^b(\mathrm{coh}(Y)) \rangle$$

where $j : E \to X$ and $g : E \to F$ are natural morphisms.

(2) Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $m+n+1$ containing a subvariety $E \cong \mathbf{P}^m$ whose normal bundle is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^m}(-1)^{\oplus n+1}$. Assume that $m \geq n$. Let $f : Z \to X$ be the blowing up with center E with the exceptional divisor $G \cong \mathbf{P}^m \times \mathbf{P}^n$, and let $g : Z \to Y$ be the blowing down of G to another direction. Let $F = g(G) \cong \mathbf{P}^n$.

Then $f^*K_X = g^*K_Y + (m-n)G$. Correspondingly, there is an SOD:

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X)) = \langle j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-m+n), \dots, j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-1), f_*g^*D^b(\mathrm{coh}(Y))\rangle$$

where $j : E \to X$ is a natural morphisms.

We note that (1) (resp. (2)) is a special case of a divisorial contraction (resp. flip) in the MMP.

If we take the unbounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$, then the DK-hypothesis does not work well:

Example 3. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a projective birational morphism of normal varieties such that $Rf_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$. Then the pull-back functor $Lf^* : D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(Y)) \to D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is fully-faithful, and there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) = \langle \mathcal{C}, Lf^*D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(Y))\rangle$ for $\mathcal{C} = \{c \in D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) \mid Rf_*c = 0\}$.

For example, let a cyclic group $G \cong \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}$ act on an affine space $M = \mathbf{C}^n$ diagonally with the same weight, and let $Y = M/G$ be the quotient space. It has a quotient singularity of type $1/r(1, \dots, 1)$, and the blowing up at the singular point $f : X \to Y$ is a resolution of singularities. Let $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ be the exceptional locus of f. Then we have $K_X = f^*K_Y + (n-r)/rE$. Thus $K_X < K_Y$ if $n < r$, but the unbounded derived categories of quasi-coherent sheaves are related by fully faithful inclusions of opposite direction.

Therefore the unbounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves does not detect the level of the canonical divisor when there are singularities. We note that quotient singularities, which are considered as pairs with 0 boundaries, are typical KLT singularities, the singularities which appear naturally in the minimal model program (KLT pair is defined in the next section). In this sense, the category $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is too big to answer subtle questions such as the MMP.

The DK hypothesis works well if we replace a variety having quotient singularities by a Deligne-Mumford stack:

Example 4. We continue Example 3. We consider the quotient stack $\tilde{Y} = [M/G]$ instead of the quotient variety $Y = M/G$. Then a coherent sheaf on $\tilde{Y}$ is nothing but a G-equivariant coherent sheaf on M. Thus we have an equivalence $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{Y})) \cong D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M))$.

Let $g : M' \to M$ be the blowing-up at the origin $Q \in M$. Then G acts on M' and we have an isomorphism $M'/G \cong X$. Let $h : M' \to X$ be the projection. Let $\mathcal{O}_Q(i) \in D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M))$ $(0 \le i < r)$ be a skyscraper sheaf of length 1 supported at the origin $Q \in M$ on which G acts by weight i.

If $n \le r$, then a functor $\Phi : D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X)) \to D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M))$ defined by $\Phi(b) = Rg_*Lh^*(b)$ is fully faithful, and we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M)) = \langle \mathcal{O}_Q(r-n), \dots, \mathcal{O}_Q(1), \Phi(D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X)))\rangle.$$

If $n \ge r$, then a functor $\Psi : D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M)) \to D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$ defined by $\Psi(a) = (Rh_*Lg^*(a))^G$ is fully faithful, and we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X)) = \langle \mathcal{O}_E(-n+r), \dots, \mathcal{O}_E(-1), \Psi(D^b(\mathrm{coh}^G(M)))\rangle.$$

The derived McKay correspondence is a special case of DK-hypothesis:

Theorem 5 ([14], [18]). *Let $G \subset SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ be a finite subgroup acting naturally on $M = \mathbf{C}^2$, let $Y = M/G$ be the quotient space, and let $f : X \to Y$ be the minimal resolution of singularities. Let $M' = X \times_Y M$ be the fiber product with projections $p : M' \to X$ and $q : M' \to M$. Then the functor $\Phi = Rq_*Lp^* : D^b(coh(X)) \to D^b(coh^G(M))$ is an equivalence.*

The derived McKay correspondence is extended to the case $G \subset SL(3,\mathbf{C})$ ([8]) and $G \subset GL(2,\mathbf{C})$ ([16],[28]). The purpose of this paper is to extend it to the case $G \subset GL(3,\mathbf{C})$.

An important special case of *K-equivalence*, a proper birational map which does not change the level of canonical divisors, is a flop. A *flop* is a diagram as follows

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{g} Z$$

where f and g are projective birational morphisms which are isomorphisms in codimension 1, $\rho(X/Y) = \rho(Z/Y) = 1$ (relative Picard numbers), and such that $K_X = f^*K_Y$ and $K_Z = g^*K_Y$. The DK-hypothesis predicts that $D(X) \cong D(Y)$ for suitable interpretations. There are positive answers in many cases ([7], [12], [31], [30], [22], [17], [3], [10], [13], [1], [15], [11]).

The following proposition supports the DK-hypothesis in the case of inequalities:

Proposition 6. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Assume the following conditions:*

(1) There is a fully faithful functor $j_* : D^b(coh(X)) \to D^b(coh(Y))$ with a kernel $P \in D^b(coh(X \times Y)$.

(2) There are an irreducible component Z of the support of P and open dense subsets X^o, Y^o, Z^o of X, Y, Z, respectively, such that $p_1 : Z^o \to X^o$ and $p_2 : Z^o \to Y^o$ are isomorphisms and $P|_{X^o \times Y^o} \cong O_{\Delta_{X^o}}$.

Then there is an inequality $p_1^*K_X \le p_2^*K_Y$ on Z.

Proof. Let $j^!$ be the right adjoint functor of j_*. There is an adjunction morphism $j_*j^! \to 1$. Let S_X and S_Y be the Serre functors on $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))$ and $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(Y))$, respectively. Then we have $S_X = j^!S_Yj_*$. Thus there is a morphism of functors $j_*S_X = j_*j^!S_Yj_* \to S_Yj_*$.

The kernels of j_*S_X and S_Yj_* are given by $p_1^*\omega_X \otimes P$ and $P \otimes p_2^*\omega_Y$, respectively. Therefore there is a morphism

$$f : p_1^*\omega_X \otimes P \to P \otimes p_2^*\omega_Y.$$

Since $f|_{X^o \times Y^o}$ is an isomorphism, f gives an inequality $p_1^*K_X \le p_2^*K_Y$. □

We have similar statement for smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks associated to projective varieties with only quotient singularities,

3. TOROIDAL CASE

We recall results on the change of derived categories under the toroidal MMP.

Let (X, B) be a pair consisting of a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial variety X whose boundary has no self-intersection and a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor B whose coefficients belong to the standard

set $\{1-1/e \mid e \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}\}$. We call such a pair simply a *toroidal* $\mathbf{Q}$*-factorial KLT pair* in this paper.

For a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pair (X, B), the variety X has only quotient singularities, i.e., there is a quasi-finite and surjective morphism $\pi' : X' \to X$ from a smooth scheme, which may be reducible, such that π' is étale over the smooth locus of X, and that the pull-back $B' = (\pi')^*B$ is supported by a simple normal crossing divisor. Then there is a quasi-finite surjective morphism $\pi'' : X'' \to X'$ from a smooth scheme whose ramification is given by the formula $(\pi'')^*(K_{X'} + B') = K_{X''}$. The covering morphism $\pi' \circ \pi'' : X'' \to X$ defines a structure of a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack $\tilde{X}$ with a bijective morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ such that $\pi^*(K_X + B) = K_{\tilde{X}}$. We call $\tilde{X}$ the smooth Deligne-Mumford stack *associated to the pair* (X, B). It is independent of the choice of π' and π'' but only on the pair (X, B). Toroidal singularities are abelian quotient singularities. But this construction works for any quotient singularities.

We start with the case of Mori fiber spaces:

Theorem 7 ([24])**.** *Let (X, B) be a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pair, let Y be another toroidal variety whose boundary has no self-intersection, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a projective surjective toroidal morphism with connected fibers such that $\dim Y < \dim X$, $\rho(X/Y) = 1$ for the relative Picard number, and that $-(K_X + B)$ is f-ample. Then the following hold:*

(1) There exists a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor C on Y such that (Y, C) is a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pair, and f induces a smooth morphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ between smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks associated to the pairs (X, B) and (Y, C).

(2) There exist an integer l and fully faithful functors $\Phi_i : D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})) \to D^b(coh(\tilde{X}))$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ such that there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition:

$$D^b(coh(\tilde{X})) = \langle \Phi_1(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y}))), \dots, \Phi_l(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y}))) \rangle.$$

It is natural to consider the pairs instead of varieties because we have to consider them. Indeed C can be non-zero even if $B = 0$. $\tilde{X}$ is smooth even if X is singular, and $\tilde{f}$ is smooth even if f has singular fibers.

The DK hypothesis works well in the case of toroidal MMP if we replace varieties and pairs by their associated stacks:

Theorem 8 ([23], [24], [27], [28])**.** *Let (X, B) and (Y, C) be toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pairs, and let $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ be the smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks associated to them. Assume that one of the following conditions hold:*

1. *$X = Y$, $B \geq C$, and the support of $B - C$ is a prime divisor.*
2. *There is a toroidal projective birational morphism $f : X \to Y$ whose exceptional locus is a prime divisor and such that $K_X + B \geq f^*(K_Y + C)$ and $C = f_*B$.*
3. *There is a toroidal projective birational morphism $f : Y \to X$ whose exceptional locus is a prime divisor and such that $f^*(K_X + B) \geq K_Y + C$ and $B = f_*C$.*
4. *There is a toroidal birational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ which is factorized as $f = f_2^{-1} \circ f_1$ by toroidal projective birational morphisms $f_1 : X \to Z$ and $f_2 : Y \to Z$ whose exceptional loci have codimension at least 2 and such that $\rho(X/Z) = \rho(Y/Z) = 1$.*

Moreover $\alpha^*(K_X + B) \geq \beta^*(K_Y + C)$ for some projective birational morphisms $\alpha : W \to X$ and $\beta : W \to Y$ from another variety W.

Then there is a toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pair (F, B_F) with an integer l in the cases except (4) (resp. toroidal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial KLT pairs (F_j, B_{F_j}) with integers l_j for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ in the case (4)) such that there are fully faithful functors $\Phi_i : D^b(coh(\tilde{F})) \to D^b(coh(\tilde{X}))$ $(i = 1, \ldots, l)$ (resp. $\Phi_{i,j} : D^b(coh(\tilde{F}_j)) \to D^b(coh(\tilde{X}))$ $(i = 1, \ldots, l_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, m)$)) and $\Phi : D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})) \to D^b(coh(\tilde{X}))$ with a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(coh(\tilde{X})) = \langle \Phi_1(D^b(coh(\tilde{F}))), \ldots, \Phi_l(D^b(coh(\tilde{F}))), \Phi(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})))\rangle$$

(resp. $D^b(coh(\tilde{X})) = \langle \Phi_{i,j}(D^b(coh(\tilde{F}_j)))_{i,j}, \Phi(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})))\rangle$), where $\tilde{F}$ (reps. $\tilde{F}_j$) is the smooth Deligne-Mumford stack associated to the pair (F, B_F) (resp. (F_j, B_{F_j})). Moreover the pairs (F, B_F) and (F_j, B_{F_j}) appear in the following way in the cases (1) through (4):

1. *F is the support of $B - C$.*
2. *For the exceptional divisor E of f, the induced morphism $f|_E : E \to f(E)$ is a Mori fiber space, and $F = f(E)$.*
3. *The same as the case (2).*
4. *For the connected components E_j of the exceptional locus of f_1, the induced morphisms $f_1|_{E_j} : E_j \to f_1(E_j)$ are Mori fiber spaces, and $F_j = f_1(E_j)$.*

The boundary divisors B_F and B_{F_j} are determined by suitable adjunctions.

Proof. The proofs in [24] and [27] on the toric case can be extended to the toroidsl case, because the fully faithfulness of functors can be checked locally by [6] Theorem 2.3.

In the case (4), we note that the exceptional locus of f_1 is irreducible in the toric case, but it is not necessary the case in the toroidal case. Therefore we need possibly more than one F_j's.

In the case (1), the semi-orthogonal complement of the image $\Phi(D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{Y})))$ is not described in [23] Theorem 4.2. So we do this here.

We use the notation of loc cit. We have $B = \sum(1 - 1/r_i)D_i$, $C = \sum(1 - 1/s_i)D_i$, and $r_i \geq s_i$ with equalities for $i \neq 1$. We set $F = D_1$. A toroidal line bundle on $\tilde{Y}$ is in the form $L(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(m)$ with $m = \sum(m_i/s_i)v_i^*$, where $\{v_i^*\}$ is the basis of monomials on $X = Y$ which are dual to the vectors $\{v_i\}$ corresponding to the divisors $\{D_i\}$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(-\sum(m_i/s_i)D_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(-\sum m_i\tilde{D}_{Y,i})$, where the $\tilde{D}_{Y,i}$ are prime divisors on $\tilde{Y}$ above the D_i. We have $H^0(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(m)) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-\sum{}^{\ulcorner} m_i/s_i{}^{\urcorner}D_i))$. We have similar expressions on $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{D}_{X,i}$.

The image of $L(m)$ by Φ is a line bundle $\Phi(L(m)) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\phi(m))$ on $\tilde{X}$ with $\phi(m) = \sum({}^{\ulcorner} m_i r_i/s_i{}^{\urcorner}/r_i)v_i^*$. It is already proved that Φ is a fully faithful functor, because ${}^{\ulcorner}(m_i - m_i')/s_i{}^{\urcorner} = {}^{\ulcorner}({}^{\ulcorner} m_i r_i/s_i{}^{\urcorner} - {}^{\ulcorner} m_i' r_i/s_i{}^{\urcorner})/r_i{}^{\urcorner}$.

The lattice corresponding to the toroidal variety $F = D_1$ is given by $N_F = N_X/\mathbf{Z}v_1$, where N_X is the lattice for X. Let t_i be the integers such that $v_i \bmod \mathbf{Z}v_1 = t_i\bar{v}_i$ for $i \neq 1$, where the $\bar{v}_i$ are primitive vectors in N_F. Then we define the boundary $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor on F by $B_F = \sum_{i\neq 1}(1 - 1/r_i t_i)D_{F,i}$ with the set theoretic intersection $D_{F,i} = D_i \cap F$. Let $\tilde{F}$ be

the Deligne-Mumford stack associated to the pair (F, B_F). Let $\tilde{D}_{F,i}$ be prime divisors on $\tilde{F}$ above the $D_{F,i}$ for $i \neq 1$.

A line bundle on $\tilde{F}$ is of the form $L_F(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(m)$ with $m = \sum_{i\neq 1}(m_i/r_it_i)\bar{v}_i^*$, where $\{\bar{v}_i^*\}$ is the basis of monomials on F which are dual to the vectors $\{\bar{v}_i\}$ corresponding to the divisors $\{D_{F,i}\}$. Let $\tilde{E} = \tilde{F} \times_X \tilde{X}$ be the fiber product with projections $\alpha : \tilde{E} \to \tilde{F}$ and $\beta : \tilde{E} \to \tilde{X}$.

We give more geometric descriptions of the above local models. Let $M = \mathbf{C}^n$, and let an abelian group G act on M faithfully and diagonally, such that $X = \mathbf{C}^n/G$ is the quotient variety. The associated stack $\tilde{X} = [\mathbf{C}^n/G]$ is a global quotient stack. The divisors D_i are the images of the coordinate hyperplanes H_i of M. We have $F = D_1$. Let $I = \{g \in G \mid g|_{H_1} = \mathrm{Id}\}$. Then $\bar{G} = G/I$ acts faithfully on H_1, and $F = H_1/\bar{G}$. We have $\tilde{F} = [H_1/\bar{G}]$ and $\tilde{E} = [H_1/G]$.

We consider a functor $\Phi_0 = \beta_*\alpha^* : D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{F})) \to D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{X}))$:

Lemma 9. *Φ_0 is fully faithful.*

Proof. We have $\beta : \tilde{E} \cong \tilde{D}_{X,1}$ and $\Phi_0(L_F(m)) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-\sum_{i\neq 1} m_i\tilde{D}_{X,i})$. For $L_F(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(m)$ and $L_F(m') = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(m')$ with $m = \sum_{i\neq 1}(m_i/r_it_i)\bar{v}_i^*$ and $m' = \sum_{i\neq 1}(m_i'/r_it_i)\bar{v}_i^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}(L_F(m), L_F(m')) \cong H^0(\tilde{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(m' - m))\\
&\cong H^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(-\sum_{i\neq 1}{\ulcorner}(m_i' - m_i)/r_it_i{\urcorner}D_{F,i})) \cong H^0(\tilde{D}_{X,1}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-\sum_{i\neq 1}(m_i' - m_i)\tilde{D}_{X,i})\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Phi_0(L_F(m)), \Phi_0(L_F(m'))).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\Lambda = \{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid 0 \leq k < r_1\} \setminus \{k \mid \exists m_1, k = {\ulcorner}m_1r_1/s_1{\urcorner}\}$. For each $k \in \Lambda$, we define a fully faithful functor $\Phi_k : D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{F})) \to D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{X}))$ by $\Phi_k(a) = \Phi_0(a) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-k\tilde{D}_{X,1})$. We note that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-r_1\tilde{D}_{X,1})$ is the image of an invertible sheaf on $\tilde{F}$ by Φ_0, so that we put the condition $0 \leq k < r_1$.

The assertion of the theorem is proved by the following lemma. □

Lemma 10. *(1) $Hom(a, b[p]) = 0$ for all p if $a \in \Phi(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})))$ and $b \in \Phi_k(D^b(coh(\tilde{F})))$.*

(2) $Hom(a, b[p]) = 0$ for all p if $a \in \Phi_k(D^b(coh(\tilde{F})))$ and $b \in \Phi_{k'}(D^b(coh(\tilde{F})))$ with $k < k'$.

(3) $D^b(coh(\tilde{X}))$ is generated by $\Phi(D^b(coh(\tilde{Y})))$ and the $\Phi_k(D^b(coh(\tilde{F})))$.

Proof. (1) We have $\alpha_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-\sum_i m_i\tilde{D}_{X,i}) \cong 0$ if $r_1 \not| m_1$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\sum_i{\ulcorner}m_ir_i/s_i{\urcorner}\tilde{D}_{X,i}), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-k\tilde{D}_{X,1} - \sum_{i\neq 1} m_i'\tilde{D}_{X,i})[p]) = 0$$

for all p.

(2) There are exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-(k+1)\tilde{D}_{X,1} - \sum_{i\neq 1} m_i \tilde{D}_{X,i}) \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-k\tilde{D}_{X,1} - \sum_{i\neq 1} m_i \tilde{D}_{X,i})$$
$$\to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{X,1}}(-k\tilde{D}_{X,1} - \sum_{i\neq 1} m_i \tilde{D}_{X,i}) \to 0.$$

Therefore the subcategory generated by $\Phi(D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{Y})))$ and the $\Phi_k(D^b(\mathrm{coh}(\tilde{F})))$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\sum_i m_i \tilde{D}_{X,i})$ for all m_i's, thus coincides with the whole category. □

4. known results

We collect known results which are used in the proof of our result. We use the terminology of the minimal model program (MMP) as in [19].

We start with the derived McKay correspondence theorem for $SL(3, \mathbf{C})$ by Bridgeland-King-Reid:

Theorem 11 ([8])**.** *Let $G \subset SL(3, \mathbf{C})$ be a finite subgroup which acts naturally on $M = \mathbf{C}^3$. Let $X = M/G$ be the quotient space. Let $Y = G\text{-}Hilb(M)$ be the closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of M consisting of G-invariant 0-dimensional subschemes $Z \subset M$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathbf{C}[G]$ as G-modules. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset Y \times M$ be the universal closed subscheme with natural morphisms $p : \mathcal{Z} \to Y$ and $q : \mathcal{Z} \to M$. Then Y is smooth and the natural morphism $f : Y \to X$, called the Hilbert-Chow morphism, is a crepant resolution of singularities, i.e., a projective birational morphism such that $K_Y = f^*K_X$. Moreover there is an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$\Phi = Rq_*p^* : D^b(coh(Y)) \to D^b(coh^G(M)).$$

The following is one of the main results of the Minimal Model Program (MMP):

Theorem 12 ([4])**.** *Let (X, B) be a KLT pair consisting of a normal variety and an $\mathbf{R}$-divisor, and let $f : X \to S$ be a projective morphism to another variety. Assume that B is big over S. Then there is an MMP for (X, B) over S which terminates to yield a minimal model or a Mori fiber space.*

We note that B is automatically big if f is a birational morphism. In this case, there is no possibility of a Mori fiber space. We also need the following result from the MMP:

Theorem 13 ([20])**.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a contraction morphism from a KLT pair (X, B) in the minimal model program. Then the exceptional locus of f, i.e., the closed subset of X consisting of all points where f is not an isomorphism, is covered by a family of rational curves which are mapped to points by f.*

Let X be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack whose coarse moduli space is projective. Then the *Hochschild homology* is defined by $HH_m(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{X\times X}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta[\dim X - m])$, where Δ denotes the diagonal and ω is a canonical sheaf.

Hochschild homology is related to the Hodge decomposition:

Theorem 14 (Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism [9])**.** *There is an isomorphism* $HH_m(X) \cong \bigoplus_{p-q=m} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$.

Hochschild homology is additive for SOD:

Theorem 15 ([29])**.** *Let* $\tilde{X}$ *and* $\tilde{Y}_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, l)$ *be smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks whose coarse moduli spaces are projective. Assume that there are fully faithful functors* $\Phi_i : D^b(\tilde{Y}_i) \to D^b(\tilde{X})$ *such that there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition* $D^b(\tilde{X}) = \langle \Phi_1(D^b(\tilde{Y}_1)), \dots, \Phi_l(D^b(\tilde{Y}_l)) \rangle$. *Then there is an isomorphism of Hochschild homologies* $HH_m(\tilde{X}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} HH_m(\tilde{Y}_i)$ *for all* m.

5. maximal **Q**-factorial terminalization

There exists a *minimal* **Q***-factorial terminalization* for any singularities by [4]. We define *maximal* **Q***-factorial terminalization* for KLT pairs in this section.

Definition 16. Let (X, B) be a KLT pair of a normal variety and an $\mathbf{R}$-divisor. A projective birational morphism $f : Y \to X$ is said to be a *maximal* **Q***-factorial terminalization* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- Y has only $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial and terminal singularities.
- The set of exceptional divisors of f coincides with the set of all prime divisors above X whose coefficients are non-negative.

For any normal variety X, a minimal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is a projective birational morphism $f : Y \to X$ such that Y has only $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial and terminal singularities and that K_Y is f-nef. If K_X is a $\mathbf{Q}$-Cartier divisor, then any exceptional divisor of f has non-negative coefficient. But we may blow up Y further while preserving the non-negativity of the coefficients (see the example below). This is why we use the term "maximal".

A minimal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is not unique in dimension ≥ 3. But they are all K-equivalent, i.e., the canonical divisors K_Y are equivalent. Hence they are connected by flops ([25]), and we expect that they have equivalent derived categories if they are suitably defined. A maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is not unique either in dimension ≥ 3. But we cannot expect that they are K-equivalent, and their derived categories are not expected to be equivalent either. Our main theorem is valid for one maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization, but not necessarily for any other maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalizations.

Theorem 17. *Let* (X, B) *be a* **Q***-factorial KLT pair of a normal variety and an* $\mathbf{R}$*-divisor.*

(1) *There exists a maximal* **Q***-factorial terminalization of* (X, B).

Let $f : Y \to (X, B)$ *be any maximal* **Q***-factorial terminalization. Denote* $K_Y + B_Y + E_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$, *where* B_Y *is the strict transform of* B.

(2) *There is a week MMP for* $-(K_Y + B_Y)$ *over* X *in the following sense:* f *is decomposed into a sequence of birational maps*

$$Y = Y_0 \dashrightarrow Y_1 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow Y_l = X$$

such that each $\alpha_i : Y_{i-1} \to Y_i$ is either a divisorial contraction or a flip for some MMP, and that $K_{Y_{i-1}} + B_{Y_{i-1}} \leq K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i}$ for all i, where the B_{Y_i} are the strict transforms of B.

(3) *Any other maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalizations are connected by flops with respect to $K_Y + B_Y + E_Y$ over X.*

(4) *If there is a reduced divisor $\bar{B}$ such that $Supp(B) \subset \bar{B}$ and that $(X, \bar{B})$ is a toroidal pair, then all birational maps α_i are toroidal for suitable toroidal pairs, and any other maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalizations are connected by toroidal flops.*

Proof. (1) Let $g : Z \to X$ be a log resolution, and write $K_Z + B_Z + E_Z = g^*(K_X + B)$, where B_Z is the strict transform of B. By blowing up further if necessary, we may assume that irreducible components of E_Z with non-negative coefficients are disjoint each other. Thus all prime divisors over X with non-negative coefficients already appear on Z. We write $E_Z = E_Z^+ - E_Z^-$ with effective divisors E_Z^+, E_Z^- without common irreducible components.

We run an MMP for the KLT pair $(Z, B_Z + E_Z^+)$ over X using [4]. Since $K_Z + B_Z + E_Z^+ \equiv E_Z^-$ over X, all irreducible components of E_Z^- are contracted during the MMP, while no other prime divisors are contracted, and we obtain a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization $f : Y \to X$.

(2) We run an MMP for a KLT pair $(Y, B_Y + (1+\epsilon)E_Y)$ over X for a small positive number ϵ. Since $K_Y + B_Y + (1+\epsilon)E_Y \equiv \epsilon E_Y \equiv -\epsilon(K_Y + B_Y)$ over X, all the irreducible components of E_Y are contracted, while the strict transforms of $-(K_Y + B_Y)$ are strictly decreasing during the MMP. This step is an MMP for $-(K_Y + B_Y)$.

We contract all other exceptional divisors in the next step which consists of flops and log crepant contractions for the strict transforms of $K_Y + B_Y$. Let $f_1 : (Y_1, B_{Y_1}) \to X$ be the morphism obtained in the previous step. We have $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} = f_1^*(K_X + B)$. Let F be the exceptional locus of f_1. We run an MMP for $(Y_1, B_{Y_1} + \epsilon F)$ over X for small ϵ. Then all irreducible components of F are eventually contracted. Since $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1}$ is numerically trivial, the strict transforms of $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1}$ remain constant.

(3) Since $K_Y + B_Y + E_Y$ is numerically trivial over X, the assertion follows from [25].

(4) We take a toroidal log resolution $g : (Y, \bar{C}) \to (X, \bar{B})$ such that $Y \setminus \bar{C} \cong X \setminus \bar{B}$. Then our MMP over X becomes toroidal automatically. The connecting flops are also automatically toroidal. □

Example 18. Let X be a 2-dimensional cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{15}(1,4)$. We consider a pair $(X, 0)$.

The minimal resolution $f_1 : Y_1 \to X$ has two exceptional curves C_1, C_2 with $C_i^2 = -4$. We have $K_{Y_1} + \frac{2}{3}(C_1 + C_2)$. Blow up Y_1 at $C_1 \cap C_2$ to obtain $f_2 : Y_2 \to Y_1$ with an exceptional curve C_3. Then blow up $C_3 \cap (C_1' \cup C_2')$ to obtain $f_3 : Y_3 \to Y_2$ with exceptional curves C_4, C_5, where the symbol $'$ denotes the strict transform. Then the composition $f : Y \to X$ is the maximal resolution. We have $K_Y = f^*K_X + \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i E_i$ with $e_1 = e_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, $e_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $e_4 = e_5 = 0$, where $E_i = C_i''$ for $i = 1, 2$, $E_3 = C_3'$ and $E_i = C_i$ for $i = 4, 5$.

If we choose small positive numbers ϵ_i, then we can run an MMP for (Y, E) with $E = \sum_i (e_i + \epsilon_i)E_i$ over X. The final output is always X itself, but there are many possibilities of the order of contractions, some of them are via the minimal resolution and others not.

For a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization $f : Y \to X$ of a quotient singularity, we have $K_Y \leq K_X$. Therefore we can expect a semi-orthogonal decomposition according to the DK-hypothesis of the form $D(X) = \langle C, D(Y)\rangle$. Moreover we expect that the derived category of a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is further decomposed into the derived category of a minimal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization and its right orthogonal, because the canonical divisor of the minimal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization is in general strictly smaller than that of the maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization.

6. compactification

We consider a finite subgroup $G \subset GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ acting naturally on $\mathbf{C}^n$ for arbitrary n. The action of G is extended to a compactification $\mathbf{P}^n$ of $\mathbf{C}^n$.

Let $\rho : G \to End(E_\rho)$ be an irreducible representation of G. We define a corresponding G-equivariant locally free sheaf on $\mathbf{P}^n$ by $\mathcal{V}_\rho = E_\rho \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}$, where the action of G is diagonal, i.e., $g(v \otimes s) = g(v) \otimes (g^{-1})^*(s)$ for $v \in E_\rho$ and $s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n})$, where U is a G-stable open subset.

The derived category $D^b(\mathrm{coh}([\mathbf{C}^n/G]))$ is generated by their restrictions $\mathcal{V}_\rho|_{\mathbf{C}^n}$ for all ρ. We consider $D^b(\mathrm{coh}([\mathbf{P}^n/G]))$ in the following theorem.

Let $L = \mathbf{P}^n \backslash \mathbf{C}^n$ be the G-stable hyperplane at infinity. We write $\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL) = \mathcal{V}_\rho \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(iL)$. Let $\pi : \mathbf{P}^n \to [\mathbf{P}^n/G]$ be a natural projection.

Theorem 19. *(1)* $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \cong \bigoplus_\rho \mathcal{V}_\rho^{\oplus \dim E_\rho}$.

(2) The derived category of the quotient stack $[\mathbf{P}^n/G]$ *has a full exceptional collection consisting of the sheaves* $\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL)$ *for all* ρ *and* $-n \leq i \leq 0$*:*

$$D^b(coh([\mathbf{P}^n/G])) = \langle \langle \mathcal{V}_\rho(-nL)\rangle_\rho, \dots, \langle \mathcal{V}_\rho\rangle_\rho\rangle.$$

(3) $HH_m([\mathbf{P}^n/G]) = 0$ *for* $m \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) We have a canonical decomposition $\mathbf{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_\rho E_\rho^{\oplus \dim E_\rho}$. This decomposition will be globalized. Since π is étale, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}$ is locally free, and $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \cong \mathbf{C}[G] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}$. We have $\pi^*\mathcal{V}_\rho \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{\dim E_\rho}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{[\mathbf{P}^n/G]}(\mathcal{V}_\rho, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(\pi^*\mathcal{V}_\rho, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}) \cong \mathbf{C}^{\dim E_\rho}.$$

Therefore we obtain our result.

(2) We have $D^b(\mathbf{P}^n) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-nL), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}\rangle$ by Beilinson [2]. We have a canonical decomposition

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(iL) \cong \bigoplus_\rho \mathcal{V}_\rho(iL)^{\dim E_\rho}.$$

Since π is étale, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{[\mathbf{P}^n/G]}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(i), a) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(i), \pi^*a)$ for $a \in D^b([\mathbf{P}^n/G])$. Therefore $D^b([\mathbf{P}^n/G])$ is generated by the $\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL)$ for all ρ and $-n \leq i \leq 0$.

If $-n \leq j - i < 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{[\mathbf{P}^n/G]}(\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL), \mathcal{V}_{\rho'}(jL)[m]) = (E_{\rho^*} \otimes E_{\rho'} \otimes H^m(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}((j-i)L)))^G = 0$$

for all m. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{[\mathbf{P}^n/G]}(\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL),\mathcal{V}_{\rho'}(iL)[m]) = (E_{\rho^*}\otimes E_{\rho'}\otimes H^m(\mathbf{P}^n,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}))^G = \begin{cases}\mathbf{C} & \text{if } \rho=\rho' \text{ and } m=0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Therefore $(\mathcal{V}_\rho(iL))$ with a suitable order is an exceptional collection.

(3) This is due to the additivity of the Hochschild homology (Theorem 15). □

7. proof of Theorem 1

Step 1.

Let $H = G\cap SL(3,\mathbf{C})$. Then H is a normal subgroup of G, and $G/H\cong\mathbf{Z}_r$ is a cyclic group of order $r=[G:H]$. By Theorem 11 ([8]), an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of H-equivariant sheaves on $\mathbf{C}^3$, denoted by $Y_1 = H\text{-Hilb}(\mathbf{C}^3)$, gives a resolution of singularities $f_1: Y_1\to \mathbf{C}^3/H$ such that $K_{Y_1} = f_1^*K_{\mathbf{C}^3/H}$. Moreover there is an equivalence $D^b([\mathbf{C}^3/H])\cong D^b(Y_1)$ of triangulated categories.

By construction, the quotient group $\mathbf{Z}_r$ acts on Y_1. Let $\pi_1: Y_1\to X_1 = Y_1/\mathbf{Z}_r$ be the natural projection, and $\bar{f}_1: X_1\to X=\mathbf{C}^3/G$ the induced projective birational morphism. We define a $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor B_1 on X_1 by $\pi_1^*(K_{X_1}+B_1)=K_{Y_1}$. The coefficients of B_1 belong to the standard set $\{1-1/e \mid e\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0}\}$. The quotient pair (X_1,B_1) has only toroidal KLT singularities and in the following sense: X_1 has an étale covering $\{U\}$ with reduced divisors $\bar{B}_U$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(B_1)\cap U\subset\bar{B}_U$ and that the pairs $(U,\bar{B}_U)$ are toroidal without self-intersection.

Let $\pi_2:\mathbf{C}^3/H\to X$ be the quotient map and define a $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor B on X by $\pi_2^*(K_X+B)=K_{\mathbf{C}^3/H}$. Since $f_1^*K_{\mathbf{C}^3/H}=K_{Y_1}$ and $\pi_2^*(K_X+B)=K_{\mathbf{C}^3/H}$, we have $\bar{f}_1^*(K_X+B)=K_{X_1}+B_1$. Here we note that H does not contain quasi-reflections, but G may do so that B may be non-zero. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & Y\\ & & & & \downarrow g\\ & & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X_1\\ & & {\scriptstyle f_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{f}_1}\\ \mathbf{C}^3 & \xrightarrow{\pi_3} & \mathbf{C}^3/H & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & X \end{array}$$

We take a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization $g: Y\to(X_1,B_1)$ by Theorem 17. It is automatically locally toroidal, i.e., toroidal on each U with respect to $(U,\bar{B}_U)$. Hence Y has only isolated terminal quotient singularities. By classification, they are quotient singularities of types $\frac{1}{r_i}(a_i,-a_i,1)$ for coprime integers r_i,a_i with $0<a_i<r_i$. We write $g^*(K_{X_1}+B_1)=K_Y+B_Y+E_Y$, where B_Y is the strict transform of B_1.

Let $f=\bar{f}_1\circ g: Y\to X$. Then we have $f^*(K_X+B)=K_Y+B_Y+E_Y$. Since Y is a highest model which satisfies this equality with B_Y+E_Y effective, f is also a maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization of (X,B).

Step 2.

We obtained equalities of log canonical divisors in the previous step. We check the corresponding equivalences and fully faithful embeddings of the derived categories.

First by Theorem 11, we have $D^b([\mathbf{C}^3/H]) \cong D^b(Y_1)$. Since $\mathbf{Z}_r$ acts on $[\mathbf{C}^3/H]$ and Y_1 compatibly, we have $D^b([\mathbf{C}^3/G]) \cong D^b([Y_1/\mathbf{Z}_r])$. We note that the Deligne-Mumford stack $[Y_1/\mathbf{Z}_r]$ coincides with the one associated to the pair (X_1, B_1).

By Theorem 17, $g : Y \to X_1$ is decomposed into a sequence of locally toroidal birational maps

$$Y = Y_0 \dashrightarrow Y_1 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow Y_m = X_1$$

such that each $\alpha_i : Y_{i-1} \to Y_i$ is either a divisorial contraction or a flip, and that $K_{Y_{i-1}} + B_{Y_{i-1}} \le K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i}$ for all i, where the B_{Y_i} are the strict transforms of B_1.

We apply Theorem 8 to the following inequalities of the log canonical divisors:

$$K_Y \le K_Y + B_Y = K_{Y_0} + B_{Y_0} \le \cdots \le K_{Y_m} + B_{Y_m} = K_{X_1} + B_1.$$

For each inequality, several semi-orthogonal components are cut out from $D^b([Y_1/\mathbf{Z}_r])$, and each component is equivalent to the derived category of a Deligne-Mumford stack above certain center, i.e., an irreducible component of B_Y for the first inequality, and an irreducible component of the image of the exceptional locus of the birational map for the rest. If the Deligne-Mumford stacks are associated to pairs, then they are further semi-orthogonally decomposed by the first case of Theorem 8. Thus we obtain toroidal varieties $Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}$ proper above X_1 such that there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^b([Y_1/\mathbf{Z}_r]) = \langle D^b(\tilde{Z}_1), \dots, D^b(\tilde{Z}_{l'}), D^b(\tilde{Y}) \rangle$$

where the $\tilde{Z}_i$ are the smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks associated to the Z_i.

We note that, although the toroidal structures are defined only étale locally over X_1, the centers Z_j are defined globally above X_1. Indeed if some Z_j is above a curve on X_1, then the maximal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminalization along generic points of the curve is unique, hence they glue together.

Let $d_i = \dim Z_i \le 2$. If $d_i = 0$, then Z_i is a point above the origin of X. In this case $D^b(\tilde{Z}_i)$ is generated by an exceptional object.

If $d_i = 1$, then Z_i is a smooth curve. It is either projective above the origin, or projective above the 1-dimensional singular locus of X. We shall prove that Z_i is a rational curve in either case in the next step. Therefore if Z_i is projective, then $D^b(\tilde{Z}_i)$ is further semi-orthogonally decomposed into the derived categories of points.

If $d_i = 2$, then Z_i is a normal surface with only quotient singularities. It is either projective above the origin, projective above the 1-dimensional singular locus of X, or above the image of a hyperplane which corresponds to a quasi-reflection in G. In the first case, Z_i is covered by rational curves by Theorem 13. Therefore $D^b(\tilde{Z}_i)$ is further semi-orthogonally decomposed into the derived categories of curves and points. In the second case, Z_i is covered by rational curves contained in the fibers over points of X_1, and $D^b(\tilde{Z}_i)$ is again further semi-orthogonally decomposed into the derived categories of curves and points. In the last case, $D^b(\tilde{Z}_i)$ is semi-orthogonally decomposed into the derived categories

of the minimal resolution of the quotient surface and points. We shall also prove that the curves appearing in these decompositions are all rational in the next step.

Step 3.

The curves appearing in Step 2 are compactified to smooth projective curves above $\mathbf{P}^3$, and also appear in the parallel SOD of $D^b([\mathbf{P}^n/G])$. Suppose that one of these curves C has a positive genus. By Theorem 14, we have $HH_1(C) \cong H^0(C, \Omega^1_C) \neq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore only rational curves appear.

References

[1] Ballard, Matthew; Favero, David; Katzarkov, Ludmil. *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories*. to appear.

[2] Beilinson, A. A. *Coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^n$ and problems in linear algebra*. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 12 (1978), no. 3, 68–69.

[3] Bezrukavnikov, R. V.; Kaledin, D. B. *McKay equivalence for symplectic resolutions of quotient singularities*. (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 246 (2004), Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh., 20–42; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2004, no. 3 (246), 13–33

[4] Birkar, Caucher; Cascini, Paolo; Hacon, Christopher D.; McKernan, James. *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405–468.

[5] Bondal, A.; Orlov, D. *Derived categories of coherent sheaves*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 47–56, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.

[6] Bridgeland, Tom. *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), no. 1, 25–34.

[7] Bridgeland, Tom. *Flops and derived categories*. Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 3, 613–632.

[8] Bridgeland, Tom; King, Alastair; Reid, Miles. *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 3, 535–554.

[9] Caldararu, Andrei. *The Mukai pairing. II. The Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism*. Adv. Math. 194 (2005), no. 1, 34–66.

[10] Cautis, Sabin. *Equivalences and stratified flops*. Compos. Math. 148 (2012), no. 1, 185–208.

[11] Cheltsov, Ivan; Katzarkov, Ludmil; Przyjalkowski, Victor. *Birational geometry via moduli spaces*. Birational geometry, rational curves, and arithmetic, Simons symposium 2012, Springer, 2013, 93–132.

[12] Chen, Jiun-Cheng. *Flops and equivalences of derived categories for threefolds with only terminal Gorenstein singularities*. J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 2, 227–261.

[13] Donovan, Will; Segal, Ed. *Window shifts, flop equivalences and Grassmannian twists*. Compos. Math. 150 (2014), no. 6, 942–978.

[14] Gonzalez-Sprinberg, G.; Verdier, J.-L. *Construction géométrique de la correspondance de McKay*. (French) Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16 (1983), no. 3, 409–449 .

[15] Halpern-Leistner, Daniel. *The derived category of a GIT quotient*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 3, 871–912.

[16] Ishii, Akira; Ueda, Kazushi. *The special McKay correspondence and exceptional collections*. Tohoku Math. J. (2), **67-4** (2015), 585–609.

[17] Kaledin, Dmitry. *Derived equivalences by quantization*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2008), no. 6, 1968–2004.

[18] Kapranov, M.; Vasserot, E. *Kleinian singularities, derived categories and Hall algebras*. Math. Ann. 316 (2000), no. 3, 565–576..

[19] Kawamata, Yujiro; Matsuda, Katsumi; Matsuki, Kenji. *Introduction to the minimal model problem*. Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, 283–360, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[20] Kawamata, Yujiro. *On the length of an extremal rational curve*. Invent. Math. **105** (1991), 609–611.

[21] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Francia's flip and derived categories*. in Algebraic Geometry (a volume in Memory of Paolo Francia), Walter de Gruyter, 2002, 197–215.
[22] Kawamata, Yujiro. *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*. J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 1, 147–171.
[23] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Log crepant birational maps and derived categories*. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **12** (2005), 211–231.
[24] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Derived categories of toric varieties*. Michigan Math. J. **54** (2006), 517–535.
[25] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Flops connect minimal models*. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **44**(2008), 419–423.
[26] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Derived categories and birational geometry*. in Algebraic Geometry Seattle 2005, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **80.2** (2009), American Mathematical Society, 655–665.
[27] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Derived categories of toric varieties II*. Michigan Math. J. 62 (2013), no. 2, 353–363.
[28] Kawamata, Yujiro. *Derived categories of toric varieties III*. European Journal of Mathematics, **2** (2016), 196–207. DOI: 10.1007/s40879-015-0065-1
[29] Kuznetsov, Alexander. *Hochschild homology and semiorthogonal decompositions*. http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4330.
[30] Namikawa, Yoshinori. *Mukai flops and derived categories*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 560 (2003), 65–76.
[31] Van den Bergh, Michel. *Three-dimensional flops and noncommutative rings*. Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), no. 3, 423–455.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo,
Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
kawamata@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp